

REMARKS

This is in full and timely response to the EX PARTE QUAYLE ACTION mailed on February 4, 2005. Reexamination in light of the amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

I. Objections to Claims

Claims are objected to because of formal matters.

The Office Action asserts that “insofar as understood, the word “microwave” should be used as an adjective, not noun. In claim 1 lines 4 and 9, “microwave” should be changed to, for example, -- microwave power --.”

However, the Applicants believe that “microwave” should be used as a noun. Refer to, for example, Webster’s Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, in which “microwave is defined as a noun.

As to “Josephson junctions” and “impression of bias current”, by the foregoing amendment, the Applicants have amended the claims so as to correct the formal matters. No new matter has been added. Accordingly the withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

II. Objections to Drawings

Drawings are objected to as FIGS. 4 and 5 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art -- because only that which is old is illustrated.

By foregoing amendment, the Applicants have amended FIGS. 4 and 5 so that they are designated by a legend, “Prior Art.” Accordingly, the withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

III. Conclusion

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. AIS-0004 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

April 4, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Toshikatsu Imaizumi

Registration No.: Limited Recognition Under
37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b)
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
1233 20th Street, N.W.
Suite 501
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 955-3750
Attorney for Applicant